

## **Tree Group comments on applications September and October 2020**

### **1 South Hill Grove. CA.20.0222**

*Proposal:*

1. Multi stemmed Ash. Remove stem leaning towards and touching house.
2. Sycamore. Remove 2 lower limbs overhanging drive
3. Sycamore. Remove limb overhanging drive

*Comment:* No objection to these works. The trees are only really visible from outside the property in South Hill Grove itself. The Sycamores have several diseased/dead lower branches.

### **4 Glenmore Road. CA.20.0241**

*Proposal:* Sycamore on boundary. Fell as growing onto and damaging summer house.

*Comment:* No objection to removal of this tree which is clearly leaning onto the summer house. We would advise discussion with the neighbour on whose property the tree seems to lie.

### **2 East Bank. CA.20.0247**

*Proposal:* Ash - prune a number of branches interfering with telephone lines, overhanging the garden of the premises and branches overhanging Heatherdale Close

*Comment:* This is a well-established, mature tree. There is no objection to the pruning work being carried out provided that it was done professionally. However, there is real concern that the tree is split and has a significant visible hollow from ground level to approximately two metres upwards. Hence the health and possible future of the tree requires serious consideration.

### **42 Poplar Road CA.20.0257**

*Proposal:* Laburnum rear garden. Fell as roots may be damaging property.

*Comment:* No comment as this tree is not visible from a public place.

### **Rosemount Park. T.20.0220**

*Proposal:*

1. Fell Sycamore. Decayed and low tensile strength
2. Lime. Remove dead branches and epicormic growth

*Comment:*

1. No objections. This tall thin tree has little amenity value and the other trees in the line are larger more imposing specimens
2. We agree with this sensible minor maintenance.